



Fig. 2: Validation ESI and MSI against the ground truth given by the SOZ, IZ and surgical resection and comparison between a patient with good (d-e) and poor (f-g) outcome. (a.) Left: Precision to the SOZ for clustered (orange) and scattered (grey) dipoles for ESI and MSI. Right: Distance from the SOZ for clustered and scattered dipoles. Stars indicate significant differences ( $p$ -values  $< 0.05$ ). (b) Left: Precision to the IZ for clustered (orange) and scattered (grey) dipoles for ESI and MSI. Right: Distance from the IZ for clustered and scattered dipoles. Stars indicate significant differences ( $p$ -values  $< 0.05$ ). (c) Comparing the distance from resection ( $D_{RES}$ ) between clustered and scattered dipoles in patients with optimal (blue) and suboptimal (red) surgical outcome for ESI (left) and MSI (middle). Logistic regression used to model the probability of good outcome based on  $D_{RES}$  of all the dipoles (black), clustered dipoles (grey) and scattered dipoles (orange) separately for ESI (cyan) and MSI (green). (d-e) In the optimal outcome patient, MSI dipoles with high clusteriness show major overlapping with SOZ volume (d) and surgical resection (e). Dipoles with low clusteriness (scattered) did not overlap with SOZ or resection. (f-g) In the suboptimal outcome patient, ESI dipoles with high clusteriness did not overlap with SOZ volume (f) and surgical resection (g). Only a few dipoles with low clusteriness overlapped with SOZ and resection. Each scenario shows the dipoles color coded based on their clusteriness on the axial, sagittal and coronal MRI view. The SOZ is defined by the purple volume. The resection is defined by the green volume.