

Table 2. Parental views of research teams differentiated by method of consent

<i>The researchers in this study...</i>	All	Standard Consent	Alternative Consent	Mean difference
Are protecting babies and families enrolled in the study from risk	4.13	4.24	3.92	+0.32
Are being appropriately transparent	4.20	4.38	4.03	+0.35
Are giving families the right amount of control about being in the study	4.22	4.46	3.70	+0.76
Are allowing families to make decisions about being in the study that are in line with their family's values	4.13	4.22	3.74	+0.47
Seem to respect the babies and families they are working with	4.52	4.76	4.19	+0.57
<i>The research described in this study seems trustworthy</i>	4.00	4.05	3.95	+0.11
<i>If my baby were eligible for a study like this, I would want them to be in it.</i>	3.42	3.49	3.57	-0.08

For each question, we present the mean score using Likert responses on a 1-5 scale (strongly disagree to strongly agree). The different clinical trial vignettes were combined such that “standard consent” includes the three cases (target oxygen level, invasive procedure, and drug choice) presented to the participant with standard consent methods while the “alternative consent” includes the same three cases presented to the participant with alternative consent methods. All questions were positively worded so that “strongly agree” (5) suggests a positive response and “strongly disagree” (1) suggests a concerning response related to the approach of consent.